

1. UAO PROPOSAL NO.	2. LOC	AL PRO	POSA	L NO.	3. INSTITUTIO	N NAME					
4 DDODOOM TITLE											
4. PROPOSAL TITLE											
5. GENERAL OVERVIEW OF	PROPO	DSAL (C	Check a	s applic	able)						
DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN	DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN
VALUE AS RESEARCH PROJECT						ABILITY OF INVESTIGATORS					
UNDERSTANDING OF PROBLEM						REALISM OF COSTS					
SOUNDNESS OF APPROACH						ADEQUACY OF FACILITIES					
PRIORITY IS (Independent of	of Fund	Availiab	ility):								
VERY HIGH	H	HIGH			MEDIUN	LOW			1	NONE	
6. DETAILED COMMENTS											
7. FINAL RESPONSE TO RE	QUEST	FOR E	VALUA ⁻	TION (C	heck and comp	lete)					
PROPOSAL NOT IN O	UR ARE	EA OF F	RESPO	NSIBILI ⁻	TY. SUGGE	STED ADDITIONAL EVALUA	TOR				
WE WILL NOT FUND.											
WE PLAN TO FUND T	HIS PRO	OPOSA	L.	ESTIM <i>A</i>	TED DATE OF	FUNDING					
8. REVIEWED BY											
TYPED NAME AND SIGNA	TURE				INSTALLAT	ION		MAIL C	ODE	DA	ATE
9. CONCURRENCES					10. COPIES S	ENT TO:				1	

NASA S	lational eronautics and pace dministration
--------	---

1. UAO PROPOSAL NO.	2. LOC	AL PRO	POSAL	₋ NO.	3. INSTITUTIO	N NAME					
4 DDODOOM TITLE											
4. PROPOSAL TITLE											
5. GENERAL OVERVIEW OF	PROPO	DSAL (C	Check a	s applic	able)						
DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN	DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN
VALUE AS RESEARCH PROJECT						ABILITY OF INVESTIGATORS					
UNDERSTANDING OF PROBLEM						REALISM OF COSTS					
SOUNDNESS OF APPROACH						ADEQUACY OF FACILITIES					
PRIORITY IS (Independent of	of Fund	Availiab	oility):								
VERY HIGH	H	HIGH			MEDIUM	low			1	NONE	
6. DETAILED COMMENTS											
7. FINAL RESPONSE TO RE	QUEST	FOR E	VALUA ⁻	TION (C	heck and comp	lete)					
PROPOSAL NOT IN O	UR ARE	EA OF F	RESPO	NSIBILI"	TY. SUGGE	ESTED ADDITIONAL EVALUA	TOR				
WE WILL NOT FUND.			0. 0.	.0.2.2.	55552						
WE PLAN TO FUND T	HIS PR	APASA		FSTIMA	ATED DATE OF	FUNDING					
8. REVIEWED BY	1110111	01 004		LOTINI	TIED DATE OF						
TYPED NAME AND SIGNA	TURE				INSTALLAT	ION		MAIL C	ODE	DA	ATE
9. CONCURRENCES					10. COPIES SI	ENT TO:					

1. UAO PROPOSAL NO.	2. LOC	AL PRO	POSA	L NO.	3. INSTITUTIO	ON NAME					
4 DDODOCAL TITLE											
4. PROPOSAL TITLE											
5. GENERAL OVERVIEW OF	PROPO	DSAL (C	Check a	s applic	able)						
DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN	DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN
VALUE AS RESEARCH PROJECT						ABILITY OF INVESTIGATORS					
UNDERSTANDING OF PROBLEM						REALISM OF COSTS					
SOUNDNESS OF APPROACH						ADEQUACY OF FACILITIES					
PRIORITY IS (Independent of	of Fund	Availiab	oility):								
VERY HIGH	H	HIGH			MEDIUN	LOW			1	NONE	
6. DETAILED COMMENTS											
7. FINAL RESPONSE TO RE	OUEST	FOR E	ΛΑΙΙΙΔ .	TION (C	heck and comp	nlete)					
PROPOSAL NOT IN O						ESTED ADDITIONAL EVALUA	TOP				
WE WILL NOT FUND.	OK AKL	_A OI F	KLSFOI	NOIDILI	11. 300GL	13TED ADDITIONAL EVALUA	TOR				
WE PLAN TO FUND T	HIS DD		ı	FSTIMA	ATED DATE OF	FUNDING					
8. REVIEWED BY	i iio i- R(J1 USA	L .		TED DATE OF						
TYPED NAME AND SIGNA	TURE				INSTALLAT	ION		MAIL C	ODE	DA	ATE
9. CONCURRENCES					10. COPIES S	ENT TO:					

1. UAO PROPOSAL NO.	2. LOC	AL PRO	POSA	L NO.	3. INSTITUTIO	ON NAME					
4 DDODOCAL TITLE											
4. PROPOSAL TITLE											
5. GENERAL OVERVIEW OF	PROPO	DSAL (C	Check a	s applic	able)						
DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN	DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN
VALUE AS RESEARCH PROJECT						ABILITY OF INVESTIGATORS					
UNDERSTANDING OF PROBLEM						REALISM OF COSTS					
SOUNDNESS OF APPROACH						ADEQUACY OF FACILITIES					
PRIORITY IS (Independent of	of Fund	Availiab	oility):								
VERY HIGH	H	HIGH			MEDIUN	LOW			1	NONE	
6. DETAILED COMMENTS											
7. FINAL RESPONSE TO RE	OUEST	FOR E	ΛΑΙΙΙΔ .	TION (C	heck and comp	nlete)					
PROPOSAL NOT IN O						ESTED ADDITIONAL EVALUA	TOP				
WE WILL NOT FUND.	OK AKL	_A OI F	KLSFOI	NOIDILI	11. 300GL	13TED ADDITIONAL EVALUA	TOR				
WE PLAN TO FUND T	HIS DD		ı	FSTIMA	ATED DATE OF	FUNDING					
8. REVIEWED BY	i iio i- R(J1 03A	L .		TED DATE OF						
TYPED NAME AND SIGNA	TURE				INSTALLAT	ION		MAIL C	ODE	DA	ATE
9. CONCURRENCES					10. COPIES S	ENT TO:					

NASA Aer Spa	ional onautics and ace ninistration
-----------------	--

1. UAO PROPOSAL NO.	2. LOC	AL PRO	POSA	L NO.	3. INSTITUTIO	ON NAME					
4 DDODOCAL TITLE											
4. PROPOSAL TITLE											
5. GENERAL OVERVIEW OF	PROPO	DSAL (C	Check a	s applic	able)						
DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN	DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN
VALUE AS RESEARCH PROJECT						ABILITY OF INVESTIGATORS					
UNDERSTANDING OF PROBLEM						REALISM OF COSTS					
SOUNDNESS OF APPROACH						ADEQUACY OF FACILITIES					
PRIORITY IS (Independent of	of Fund	Availiab	oility):								
VERY HIGH	H	HIGH			MEDIUN	LOW			1	NONE	
6. DETAILED COMMENTS											
7. FINAL RESPONSE TO RE	OUEST	FOR E	ΛΑΙΙΙΔ .	TION (C	heck and comp	nlete)					
PROPOSAL NOT IN O						ESTED ADDITIONAL EVALUA	TOP				
WE WILL NOT FUND.	OK AKL	_A OI F	KLSFOI	NOIDILI	11. 300GL	13TED ADDITIONAL EVALUA	TOR				
WE PLAN TO FUND T	HIS DD		ı	FSTIMA	ATED DATE OF	FUNDING					
8. REVIEWED BY	i iio i- R(J1 03A	L .		TED DATE OF						
TYPED NAME AND SIGNA	TURE				INSTALLAT	ION		MAIL C	ODE	DA	ATE
9. CONCURRENCES					10. COPIES S	ENT TO:					

1. UAO PROPOSAL NO.	2. LOC	AL PRO	POSA	L NO.	3. INSTITUTION NAME								
4. PROPOSAL TITLE	1												
5. GENERAL OVERVIEW OF	PROP	OSAL (C	Check a	s applic	able)			•					
DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN	DESCRIPTION		EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN	
VALUE AS RESEARCH PROJECT						ABILITY OF INVESTIGATO	ORS						
UNDERSTANDING OF PROBLEM						REALISM OF COSTS							
SOUNDNESS OF APPROACH						ADEQUACY OF FACILITIE	S						
PRIORITY IS (Independent of	of Fund	Availiat	oility):										
VERY HIGH	ŀ	HIGH			MEDIUM		LOW			1	NONE		
6. DETAILED COMMENTS													
7. FINAL RESPONSE TO RE	QUEST	FOR E	VALUA [*]	TION (C	heck and comp	lete)							
PROPOSAL NOT IN O	UR ARI	EA OF F	RESPO	NSIBILIT	ΓY. SUGGE	STED ADDITIONAL E	EVALUA	TOR					
WE WILL NOT FUND.													
WE PLAN TO FUND T	HIS PR	OPOSA	L.	ESTIMA	TED DATE OF	FUNDING							
8. REVIEWED BY								1					
TYPED NAME AND SIGNA	TURE				INSTALLATI	ON			MAIL C	ODE	DA	ATE	
9. CONCURRENCES					10. COPIES SE	ENT TO:							

1. UAO PROPOSAL NO.	2. LOCAL PROPOSAL NO.				3. INSTITUTIO	ON NAME					
4 DDODOCAL TITLE											
4. PROPOSAL TITLE											
5. GENERAL OVERVIEW OF	PROPO	DSAL (C	Check a	s applic	able)						
DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN	DESCRIPTION	EXCEL	GOOD	AVER	POOR	UNKNOWN
VALUE AS RESEARCH PROJECT						ABILITY OF INVESTIGATORS					
UNDERSTANDING OF PROBLEM						REALISM OF COSTS					
SOUNDNESS OF APPROACH						ADEQUACY OF FACILITIES					
PRIORITY IS (Independent of	of Fund	Availiab	oility):								
VERY HIGH	H	HIGH			MEDIUN	LOW			1	NONE	
6. DETAILED COMMENTS											
7 FINAL DESDONSE TO DE	OHEST	EOD E	\/\III\	TION (C	heck and comp	Note)					
7. FINAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR EVALUATION (Check and complete) PROPOSAL NOT IN OUR AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY. SUGGESTED ADDITIONAL EVALUATOR											
	UK AKE	EA OF F	KESPUI	NSIBILI	IY. SUGGE	ESTED ADDITIONAL EVALUA	TOR				
WE WILL NOT FUND.	LUC DD			COTINA A	TED DATE OF	FUNDING					
WE PLAN TO FUND T	nio PR(JPU5A	L.	ESTIM <i>F</i>	ATED DATE OF	FUNDING					
8. REVIEWED BY TYPED NAME AND SIGNA	TURE				INSTALLAT	ION		MAIL C	ODE	DA	ATE
								0			=
9. CONCURRENCES					10. COPIES S	ENT TO:					
						-					